ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 22/WG 23 N 0309

Proposed vulnerability description on Inter-language calling

2

1

Date 11 March 2011
Contributed by John Benito
Original file name djs.docx
Notes

4 5

6.X Inter-language Calling [DJS]

6 7 8

6.x.1 Description of application vulnerability

9 When an application is developed using more than one programming language, complications arise.

- The calling conventions, data layout, error handing and return conventions all differ between
- languages, if these are not addressed correctly, stack overflow/underflow, data corruption, and
- memory corruption are possible.
- In multi-language development environment it is also difficult to reuse code across the languages.
- 14 6.x.2 Cross reference
- 15 [None]

16

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

37

6.x.3 Mechanism of failure

When calling a function that has been developed using a language different from the calling language, the call convention and the return convention used must be taken into account. If these conventions are not handled correctly, there is a good chance the calling stack will be corrupted, see [OTR]. The call convention covers how the language invokes the call, see [CJS], but how the parameters are handled.

Many software languages have restriction on length of identifiers, the type of characters that can be used as the first character, and the case of the characters used. All of these need to be taken into account when invoking a routine written in a language other than the calling language.

Character and aggregate data types require special treatment in a multi-language development environment, the data layout of all languages that are to be used must be taken into consideration, this includes padding and alignment. If these data types are not handled correctly, the data could be corrupted, the memory could be corrupted, or both may become corrupt. This can happen by writing/reading past either end of the data structure, see [HCB]. For example, a Pascal's STRING data type

2 where length contains the actual length of STRING.

38 Most numeric data types have counterparts across languages, but again the layout should be 39 understood, and only those types that match the languages should be used. For example, in some 40 implementations of C++ a 41 signed char 42 would match a Fortran 43 INTEGER*1 44 and would match a Pascal 45 PACKED -128..127 46 these can be implementation-defined and should be verified. 47 6.x.4 Applicable language characteristics 48 The vulnerability is applicable to languages with the following characteristics: 49 All high level programming languages and low level programming languages are susceptible to this 50 vulnerability when used in a multi-language development environment. 51 52 6.x.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 53 Software developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways: 54 Understand the calling convenes of all languages used. 55 Understand the data layout of all data types used. • 56 Understand the return conventions of all languages used. 57 Ensure that the language in which error check occurs is the one that handles the error. 58 Avoid using uppercase letters in identifiers. 59 Avoid using the underscore () and dollar sign (\$) as the first character in identifiers. 60 Avoid using long identifier names. 61 62 6.x.6 Implications for standardization 63 In future standardization activities, the following items should be considered: 64 Standards committees should consider developing guides for inter-language calling with

languages most often used with their programming language.

65

66